

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,935	02/06/2004	Michael L. Jackson	A01495	7968
21898	7590 04/25/2006		EXAM	NER
ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT			MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
100 INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADEL	HIA, PA 19106-2399		1711	
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006	`

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/773,935	JACKSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	20 May 2005.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	•	• •
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	accepted or b) objected to □	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		pplication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		
application from the International Bu		Ç
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
		•
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
 Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE 		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date May Tub '07	6) Other:	•

Art Unit: 1711

Applicant's election with traverse of anhydride modified MPP and acrylic resin in the reply filed on 5-20-05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that undue burden of search does not exist. This is not found persuasive because the search for the various species is not coextensive and the reasonable number of species refered to by MPEP 1.141 pertains to situatuins where an allowable generic claim is present.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

No terminal disclaimer has been received as applicants allege was filed.

Claims 1-4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as filed does not disclose that the MPP having one or two terminal groups is addition is modified by groups. The limitation that the "MPP contains one or two terminal carboxyl, anhydride, hydroxyl or epoxy groups" implies that terminal groups are part of the MPP itself while the limitation that the "said MPP or MPP copolymer is modified with one or more than one carboxyl, anhydride, hydroxyl or epoxy group" implies that possibly the already terminally modified MPP is further modified. However the specification as filed does not disclose that the (terminally modified) MPP is further modified and these limitation are therefore new matter. The limitation that the MPP's have terminal olefin double bonds as well as

"terminal carboxyl, anhydride, hydroxyl or epoxy groups" was not present in the specification as filed and is therefore new matter.

Claims 1-4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear if the "MPP or MPP copolymer (which) is modified with one or more than one carboxyl, anhydride, hydroxyl or epoxy group" referes to further modification of the already terminally modified MPP's or pertains to the terminal groups which are already present as the claims can be interpreted both ways. The presence of "terminal olefin double bond(s)" in claim 4 is contradictory to "terminal carboxyl, anhydride, hydroxyl or epoxy groups" as recited in claim 1 and claim 4 therefore lacks anecednet basis in claim 1.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 1711

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rieger et al. (DE 4227217).

Patentees disclose a composition containing an "isotactic polypropylene" with a terminal hydroxyl group derived from an isotactic polypropylene with a terminal olefinic group having a molecular weight of 1100. The composition also contains grafted EP copolymer. Note the abstract.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hanna (US 2004/0059046).

Patentees disclose an isotactic PP of number average molecular weight of 1100 terminally modified by hydroxyl groups present with UNITHOX 750 ethoxylate (as in applicants polymer or resin). Note Example 23 in this re. Note use of chlorinated PVC in paragraph 74. It is noted that the above publishes application isassigned to Baker Hughes and the page 6, line 25 of the instant specification indicates that Baker Hughes Makes MPP's suitable for applicants invention.

Claims 1-7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fujita et al (US 5,130,371).

Application/Control Number: 10/773,935 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

Fujita discloses a composition containing a hompolypropylene graft polymer and polycarbonate. Note for instance Example 13. Note that the only conditions disclosed for producing hompolypropylene are that for producing isotactic polypropylene with Zeigler Natta catalyst at column 5, lines 28-33. Note column 6, lines 61-66 where it is disclosed that grafting of polypropylene with maleic anhydride under free radical polymerization conditions results in anhydride groups introduced almost solely at the point of chain scission, ie at the terminal of the newly formed maleienated polypropylene. Note the examples for polypropylene containing 4% maleic anhydride for which a number average molecular weight of about 2,000 can be calculated based on one maleic anhydride present only at the chain ends.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey C. Mullis at telephone number 571 272 1075.

Jeffrey C. Mullis J Mullis Art Unit 1711

JCM

4-19-06

Jeffrey Mullis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711